
Subject: Re: [RFC] [PATCH] memory controller background reclamation

Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Mon, 26 Nov 2007 03:56:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Balbir Singh wrote:

> YAMAMOTO Takashi wrote:

>>>> + int batch_count = 128; /* XXX arbitrary */

>>> Could we define and use something like MEM_CGROUP_BATCH_COUNT for now?

>>> Later we could consider and see if it needs to be tunable. numbers are

>>> hard to read in code.

>> although i don't think it makes sense, i can do so if you prefer.

>>

>

> Using numbers like 128 make the code unreadable. I prefer something

> like MEM_CGROUP_BATCH_COUNT since its more readable than 128. If we ever

> propagate batch_count to other dependent functions, I'd much rather do

> it with a well defined name.

>

I just checked we already have FORCE_UNCHARGE_BATCH, we could just rename and re-use it.

--

Warm Regards,

Balbir Singh

Linux Technology Center

IBM, ISTL

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
