Subject: Re: [RFC] [PATCH] memory controller background reclamation
Posted by Balbir Singh on Mon, 26 Nov 2007 03:56:05 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Balbir Singh wrote:
> YAMAMOTO Takashi wrote:
>>>> + int batch_count = 128; /* XXX arbitrary */
>>> Could we define and use something like MEM_CGROUP_BATCH_COUNT for now?
>>> Later we could consider and see if it needs to be tunable. numbers are
>>> hard to read in code.
>> although i don't think it makes sense, i can do so if you prefer.
>>
>
> Using numbers like 128 make the code unreadable. I prefer something
> like MEM_CGROUP_BATCH_COUNT since its more readable than 128. If we ever
> propagate batch_count to other dependent functions, I'd much rather do
> it with a well defined name.
>

I just checked we already have FORCE_UNCHARGE_BATCH, we could just
rename and re-use it.

--
 Warm Regards,
 Balbir Singh
 Linux Technology Center
 IBM, ISTL
_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers