
Subject: Re: [PATCH] proc: use BUG_ON() in de_put()
Posted by [Alexey Dobriyan](#) on Thu, 22 Nov 2007 08:49:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, Nov 16, 2007 at 01:46:42PM -0800, Andrew Morton wrote:

> On Thu, 15 Nov 2007 19:12:49 +0300

> Alexey Dobriyan <adobriyan@sw.ru> wrote:

>

> > It's much more visible that some printk. I still has an unexplained oops

> > in proc, so let's leave it for a while.

> > --- a/fs/proc/inode.c

> > +++ b/fs/proc/inode.c

> > @@ -37,12 +37,7 @@ void de_put(struct proc_dir_entry *de)

> > {

> > if (de) {

> > lock_kernel();

> > - if (!atomic_read(&de->count)) {

> > - printk("de_put: entry %s already free!\n", de->name);

> > - unlock_kernel();

> > - return;

> > - }

> > -

> > + BUG_ON(atomic_read(&de->count) == 0);

> > if (atomic_dec_and_test(&de->count)) {

> > if (de->deleted) {

> > printk("de_put: deferred delete of %s\n",

>

> I don't see that an error in here `_requires_` that we nuke the machine.

> Surely we can emit a warning and then recover in some fashion?

0 => -1 transition, google says this check triggered only once. I think we should just drop it.