
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/1] capabilities: introduce per-process capability bounding set (v8)

Posted by [Andrew Morgan](#) on Tue, 20 Nov 2007 03:40:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Hash: SHA1

Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> Andrew, this version follows all of your suggestions. Definately nicer

> userspace interface. thanks

[...]

>

> /* Allow ioperm/iopl access */

> @@ -314,6 +314,10 @@ typedef struct kernel_cap_struct {

>

> #define CAP_SETFCAP 31

>

> +#define CAP_NUM_CAPS 32

> +

> +#define cap_valid(x) ((x) >= 0 && (x) < CAP_NUM_CAPS)

> +

Could you change the name of CAP_NUM_CAPS? There is some libcap building code that does the following to automatically build the "cap_*" names for libcap, and this new define above messes that up! :-)

```
sed -ne '/^#define[ \t]CAP[_A-Z]\+[ \t]\+[0-9]\+/{s/^#define \([^\t]*\)[ \t]*\([^\t]*\)/ \{ \2, \"\1\" \},/;y/ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ/abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz;p;}' < $(KERNEL_HEADERS)/linux/capability.h | fgrep -v 0x > cap_names.sed
```

Something like:

```
#define CAP_NUM_CAPS (CAP_SETFCAP+1)
```

will save me some hassle. :-)

[...]

> /*

> * Bit location of each capability (used by user-space library and kernel)

> */

> @@ -350,6 +354,17 @@ typedef struct kernel_cap_struct {

>

> #define CAP_INIT_INH_SET CAP_EMPTY_SET

>

It's kind of a pity to put a kernel config ifdef in a header file. Could you put the ifdef code in the c-files that uses these definitions?

```
> +#ifdef CONFIG_SECURITY_FILE_CAPABILITIES
```

In my experience when headers define things differently based on configuration #defines, other users of header files (apps, kernel modules etc.), never quite know what the current define is. If we can avoid conditional code like this in this header file, I'd be happier.

```
> +#ifdef CONFIG_SECURITY_FILE_CAPABILITIES
```

ditto.

[...]

```
> +extern long cap_prctl_drop(unsigned long cap);  
> +#else  
> +#include <linux/errno.h>  
> +static inline long cap_prctl_drop(unsigned long cap)  
> +{ return -EINVAL; }  
> +#endif  
> +  
> +long cap_prctl_drop(unsigned long cap)  
> +{  
> + if (!capable(CAP_SETPCAP))  
> + return -EPERM;  
> + if (!cap_valid(cap))  
> + return -EINVAL;  
> + cap_lower(current->cap_bset, cap);
```

I think the following lines are overkill. Basically, the next exec() will perform the pP/pE clipping, and cap_bset should only interact with fP (and not fl).

We already have a mechanism to manipulate pl, which in turn gates fl. And this same mechanism (libcap) can clip pE, pP if it is needed pre-exec().

So, if you want to drop a capability irrevocably, you drop it in bset, and separately in pl. The current process may continue to have the capability, but post-exec the working process tree has lost it. For things like login, this is desirable.

This also makes it possible for you to allow pl to have a capability otherwise banned in cap_bset which is useful with limited role accounts.

```
> + current->cap_effective = cap_intersect(current->cap_effective,  
> + current->cap_bset);  
> + current->cap_permitted = cap_intersect(current->cap_permitted,
```

```
> + current->cap_bset);  
> + current->cap_inheritable = cap_intersect(current->cap_inheritable,  
> + current->cap_bset);
```

You might want to replace the above three lines with a restriction elsewhere on what CAP_SETPCAP can newly set in `commoncap.c:cap_capset_check()`.

That is, CAP_SETPCAP permits the current process to raise 'any' `pl` capability. I suspect that you'll want to prevent raising any bits not masked by this:

```
pl' & ~(pl | (pP & cap_bset)).
```

Cheers

Andrew

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

Version: GnuPG v1.2.6 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFHQlclQheEq9QabfIRAkF4AKCHuvuL23GjPB+bLHhBP7etBGn4/gCeL74C

PII6wm41m0dGNiGb1mKFGGU=

=7qUX

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
