Subject: Re: cleanup in workq and dst_destroy Posted by Benjamin Thery on Mon, 19 Nov 2007 09:16:29 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Denis V. Lunev wrote: > Daniel Lezcano wrote: >> Denis V. Lunev wrote: >>> Daniel Lezcano wrote: >>>> Hi all. >>>> >>>> while doing ipv6 namespace, we were faced to a problem with the loopback >>>> and the dst destroy function. >>>> >>>> When the network namespace exits, the cleanup function is called by >>> schedule_work and this function will browse the net ops list to call the >>>> different exit methods for the registered subsystems. >>>> >>>> The different subsystems will shutdown their resources and in particular >>> addrconf subsystem will ifdown the loopback. This function will call >>>> rt6 ifdown >>>> -> fib6 clean all >>>> -> fib6 clean node >>> -> fib6 clean tree >>>> -> fib6 clean node >>>> -> fib6 del -> fib6 del route >>>> -> rt6_release >>>> ->dst free >>>> -> dst free >>>> >>>> >>>> The dst free function will schedule delayed work the dst gc work >>>> function. >>>> >>>> The dst_gc_work will call dst_destroy and finally this one will call >>> dst->ops->destroy ops function which is ip6_dst_destroy. >>>> >>>> The problem here is we have the workq blocked because we are running >>> inside the netns cleanup function. So the delayed work will not run >>>> until we exits the cleanup function. But the loopback is still >>> referenced by the ip6 routes, the netdev unregister will loop >>>> indefinitly => dead lock. >>>> By the way, this bug appears with ipv6 but it is perhaps pending with >>>> ipv4. >>>> >>>> Benjamin as proposed to create a separate workg for the network

>>>> ip6 route are shut downed. Is it an acceptable solution?

>>> namespace, so in the worst case we have the unregister looping until the

>>>>

- >>> we are doing this staff in the special thread. There are a lot of
- >>> difficult things to perform like synchronize_net & netdev_run_todo inside
- >> The special thread? do you mean keventd_wq?

>>

- > I mean that network namespace deletion, i.e. all subsystem ->exit calls
- > should be run outside of all current mechanisms in the separate thread,
- > specially designated to namespace(s) stop.

Interesting.

How do you create the thread? Do you use a special workqueue to replace the use of the global keventd workqueue, as I proposed, or do you use another mechanism to create the thread?

I mean do you create one thread per exiting namespace (each time a namespace is exiting you spawn a new thread for the cleanup) or do you create a workqueue at system init where you'll queue all cleanup routines (cleanup_net) for all exiting namespaces?

Currently, on our side, we have a small patch that creates a special workqueue in net_ns_init(), and we queue clean_net() in this workqueue in __put_net().

Benjamin

--

Benjamin Thery - BULL/DT/Open Software R&D

http://www.bull.com

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers