Subject: Re: net-2.6.25-netns branch on git.openvz.org Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Thu, 15 Nov 2007 13:31:48 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Denis V. Lunev wrote:
> Daniel Lezcano wrote:
>> Denis V. Lunev wrote:
>>> Hi, All!
>>>
>>> I have ported what is ready to send to netdev to 2.6.25 and created
>>> appropriate branches on git.openvz.org, namely
>>> - net-2.6.25 aka Miller's 2.6.25 tree
>>> - net-2.6.25-netns with ready patches
>> resyncing ... thanks :)
>>
>> I will send in a few moments a first round for ipv6 taking into account
>> ip6 fib.
>>
>> There some other pending patches I will send, I hope before the end of
>> the week.
>> I am a little lost with what we want to send for net-2.6.25. I mean we
>> planned to send af_unix, af_packet, af_netlink, af_inet and af_inet6.
> I think that should behave like a small squaw eating the bear. Right now
> we can send netlink preparatory work + PF UNIX + PF PACKET. This code
> is ready, functional and complete. Let's send it now to reduce amount of
> work for maintaining.
Agree.
>> What is the level of functionnality we want to provide with ipv4 and
>> ipv6. For example we have addresss autoconfiguration for ipv6, shall we
>> send it?
>
> after that, we can continue the work. I think that we can send all
> remaining IPv4 code after while in this cycle. The code has been
> significantly changed and porting/splitting/re-splitting takes longer
> than I have expected. All sysctl/sysfs handling is out of scope for me
> now and, I think, Dave is not a right person to accept this code.
>
> It will be very good if we'll have functional IPv4 in this kernel. All
> other staff looks like a pure bonus. At least we should test and
> stabilize it.
```

The problem I have with ipv6 is that is sharing code with ipv4 namespace like net/core/neigh.c. So it is difficult to test ipv6 namespace without that.

Can I help with ipv4?

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers