

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/2][INET] Fix potential kfree on vmalloc-ed area of request\_sock\_queue

Posted by [Eric Dumazet](#) on Wed, 14 Nov 2007 19:42:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 14 Nov 2007 21:08:29 +0300

Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org> wrote:

> The request\_sock\_queue's listen\_opt is either vmalloc-ed or  
> kmalloc-ed depending on the number of table entries. Thus it  
> is expected to be handled properly on free, which is done in  
> the reqsk\_queue\_destroy().

>

> However the error path in inet\_csk\_listen\_start() calls  
> the lite version of reqsk\_queue\_destroy, called  
> \_\_reqsk\_queue\_destroy, which calls the kfree unconditionally.

>

> Fix this and move the \_\_reqsk\_queue\_destroy into a .c file as  
> it looks too big to be inline.

>

> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>

>

> ---

>

> +void \_\_reqsk\_queue\_destroy(struct request\_sock\_queue \*queue)  
> +{  
> + struct listen\_sock \*lopt = reqsk\_queue\_yank\_listen\_sk(queue);

WARNING : lopt can be NULL here (or else the locking in reqsk\_queue\_yank\_listen\_sk() would be useless ?)

kfree(NULL) was ok, not NULL->nr\_table\_entries :)

> + size\_t lopt\_size = sizeof(struct listen\_sock) +  
> + lopt->nr\_table\_entries \* sizeof(struct request\_sock \*);  
> +  
> + if (lopt\_size > PAGE\_SIZE)  
> + vfree(lopt);  
> + else  
> + kfree(lopt);  
> +}

---