
Subject: Re: [RFC] Virtualization steps

Posted by [Sam Vilain](#) on Tue, 28 Mar 2006 22:50:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 2006-03-28 at 12:51 +0400, Kirill Korotaev wrote:

> we will create a separate branch also called -acked, where patches
> agreed upon will go.

No need. Just use Acked-By: comments.

Also, can I give some more feedback on the way you publish your patches:

1. git's replication uses the notion of a forward-only commit list.
So, if you change patches or rebase them then you have to rewind the base point - which in pure git terms means create a new head.
So, you should use the convention of putting some identifier - a date, or a version number - in each head.
2. Why do you have a separate repository for your normal openvz and the -ms trees? You can just use different heads.
3. Apache was doing something weird to the HEAD symlink in your repository. (mind you, if you adopt notion 1., this becomes irrelevant :-))

Otherwise, it's a great thing to see your patches published via git!

I can't recommend Stacked Git more highly for performing the 'winding' of the patch stack necessary for revising patches. Google for "stgit".

Sam.
