Subject: Re: Revert for cgroups CPU accounting subsystem patch
Posted by Paul Menage on Tue, 13 Nov 2007 06:05:24 GMT

On Nov 12, 2007 10:00 PM, Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:
>   On second thoughts, this may be a usefull controller of its own.
> Say I just want to "monitor" usage (for accounting purpose) of a group of
> tasks, but don't want to control their cpu consumption, then cpuacct
> controller would come in handy.
>

That's plausible, but having two separate ways of tracking and
reporting the CPU usage of a cgroup seems wrong.

How bad would it be in your suggested case if you just give each
cgroup the same weight? So there would be fair scheduling between
cgroups, which seems as reasonable as any other choice in the event
that the CPU is contended.

Paul

_____

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers