Subject: Re: [PATCH 6/6 mm] memcgroup: revert swap_state mods Posted by Balbir Singh on Mon, 12 Nov 2007 06:56:04 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hugh Dickins wrote:

- > If we're charging rss and we're charging cache, it seems obvious that
- > we should be charging swapcache as has been done. But in practice
- > that doesn't work out so well: both swapin readahead and swapoff leave
- > the majority of pages charged to the wrong cgroup (the cgroup that
- > happened to read them in, rather than the cgroup to which they belong).

>

- > (Which is why unuse_pte's GFP_KERNEL while holding pte lock never
- > showed up as a problem: no allocation was ever done there, every page
- > read being already charged to the cgroup which initiated the swapoff.)

>

- > It all works rather better if we leave the charging to do_swap_page and
- > unuse_pte, and do nothing for swapcache itself: revert mm/swap_state.c
- > to what it was before the memory-controller patches. This also speeds
- > up significantly a contained process working at its limit: because it
- > no longer needs to keep waiting for swap writeback to complete.

>

Yes, it does speed up things, but we lose control over swap cache. It might grow very large, but having said that I am in favour of removing the mods till someone faces a severe problem with them. Another approach is to provide a per-container tunable as to whether swap cache should be controlled or not and document the side-effects of swap cache control.

- > Is it unfair that swap pages become uncharged once they're unmapped,
- > even though they're still clearly private to particular cgroups? For
- > a short while, yes; but PageReclaim arranges for those pages to go to
- > the end of the inactive list and be reclaimed soon if necessary.

>

- > shmem/tmpfs pages are a distinct case: their charging also benefits
- > from this change, but their second life on the lists as swapcache
- > pages may prove more unfair that I need to check next.

>

> Signed-off-by: Hugh Dickins <hugh@veritas.com>

Thanks for the patch

Acked-by: Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com>

--

Warm Regards, Balbir Singh

Linux Technology Center IBM, ISTL

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers