Subject: Re: [BUG]: Crash with CONFIG\_FAIR\_CGROUP\_SCHED=y Posted by Sukadev Bhattiprolu on Sat, 10 Nov 2007 23:13:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Serge E. Hallyn [serue@us.ibm.com] wrote: Quoting Srivatsa Vaddagiri (vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com): > On Fri, Nov 09, 2007 at 09:45:21AM +0100, Dmitry Adamushko wrote: > > Humm... the 'current' is not kept within the tree but > current->se.on rg is supposed to be '1', > > so the old code looks ok to me (at least for the 'leaf' elements). > > You are damned right! Sorry my mistake with the previous analysis and > (as I now find out) testing :( > > There are couple of problems discovered by Suka's test: > > - The test requires the caroup filesystem to be mounted with atleast the cpu and ns options (i.e both namespace and cpu > controllers are active in the same hierarchy). > > > # mkdir /dev/cpuctl > # mount -t cgroup -ocpu,ns none cpuctl > (or simply) > # mount -t cgroup none cpuctl -> Will activate all controllers in same hierarchy. > > > - The test invokes clone() with CLONE\_NEWNS set. This causes a a new child to be created, also a new group (do fork->copy namespaces->ns cgroup clone-> > > cgroup clone) and the child is attached to the new group (cgroup clone-> > attach\_task->sched\_move\_task). At this point in time, the child's scheduler related fields are uninitialized (including its on rg field, which it has > inherited from parent). As a result sched move task thinks its on > runqueue, when it isn't. > > As a solution to this problem, I moved sched\_fork() call, which > initializes scheduler related fields on a new task, before > copy\_namespaces(). I am not sure though whether moving up will > cause other side-effects. Do you see any issue? > > > - The second problem exposed by this test is that task new fair() > assumes that parent and child will be part of the same group (which needn't be as this test shows). As a result, cfs\_rq->curr can be NULL > for the child. > > The solution is to test for curr pointer being NULL in > task\_new\_fair(). > > >

> With the patch below, I could run ns\_exec() fine w/o a crash.

> Suka, can you verify whether this patch fixes your problem?

Works on my machine. Thanks!

And mine too. Thanks,

>

| > ---| > | > Fix copy\_namespace() <-> sched\_fork() dependency in do\_fork, by moving | > up sched\_fork(). | > | > Also introduce a NULL pointer check for 'curr' in task\_new\_fair(). | > | > Signed-off-by : Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com> | | Tested-by: Serge Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com> Tested-by: Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@us.ibm.com>

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum