
Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] Virtualization steps
Posted by [TheWiseOne](#) on Tue, 28 Mar 2006 17:04:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> Matt Ayres <matta@tektonic.net> writes:

>

>> I think the Xen guys would disagree with you on this. Xen claims <3% overhead
>> on the XenSource site.

>>

>> Where did you get these figures from? What Xen version did you test? What was
>> your configuration? Did you have kernel debugging enabled? You can't just post
>> numbers without the data to back it up, especially when it conflicts greatly
>> with the Xen developers statements. AFAIK Xen is well on it's way to inclusion
>> into the mainstream kernel.

>

> It doesn't matter. The proof that Xen has more overhead is trivial
> Xen does more, and Xen clients don't share resources well.

>

I understand the difference. It was more about Kirill grabbing numbers out of the air. I actually think the containers and Xen complement each other very well. As Xen is now based on 2.6.16 (as are both VServer and OVZ) it makes sense to run a few Xen domains that then in turn run containers in some scenarios. As far as the last part, Xen doesn't share resources at all :)

Thank you,
Matt Ayres
