
Subject: [PATCH 1/6 mm] swapoff: scan ptes preemptibly
Posted by [Hugh Dickins](#) on Fri, 09 Nov 2007 07:08:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Provided that CONFIG_HIGHPTE is not set, unuse_pte_range can reduce latency in swapoff by scanning the page table preemptibly: so long as unuse_pte is careful to recheck that entry under pte lock.

(To tell the truth, this patch was not inspired by any cries for lower latency here: rather, this restructuring permits a future memory controller patch to allocate with GFP_KERNEL in unuse_pte, where before it could not. But it would be wrong to tuck this change away inside a memcggroup patch.)

Signed-off-by: Hugh Dickins <hugh@veritas.com>

This patch could go anywhere in the mm series before the memory-controller patches: I suggest just after swapin-fix-valid-swaphandles-defect.patch Subsequent patches N/6 go in different places amongst the memory-controller patches: please see accompanying suggestions.

```
mm/swapfile.c | 38 ++++++-----  
1 file changed, 31 insertions(+), 7 deletions(-)
```

```
--- patch0/mm/swapfile.c 2007-11-07 19:41:45.000000000 +0000  
+++ patch1/mm/swapfile.c 2007-11-08 12:34:12.000000000 +0000  
@@ -506,9 +506,19 @@ unsigned int count_swap_pages(int type,  
 * just let do_wp_page work it out if a write is requested later - to  
 * force COW, vm_page_prot omits write permission from any private vma.  
 */  
-static void unuse_pte(struct vm_area_struct *vma, pte_t *pte,  
+static int unuse_pte(struct vm_area_struct *vma, pmd_t *pmd,  
    unsigned long addr, swp_entry_t entry, struct page *page)  
{  
+ spinlock_t *ptl;  
+ pte_t *pte;  
+ int found = 1;  
+  
+ pte = pte_offset_map_lock(vma->vm_mm, pmd, addr, &ptl);  
+ if (unlikely(!pte_same(*pte, swp_entry_to_pte(entry)))) {  
+ found = 0;  
+ goto out;  
+ }  
+  
+ inc_mm_counter(vma->vm_mm, anon_rss);  
+ get_page(page);  
+ set_pte_at(vma->vm_mm, addr, pte,  
@@ -520,6 +530,9 @@ static void unuse_pte(struct vm_area_struct *vma, pmd_t *pmd,  
 * immediately swapped out again after swapon.
```

```

*/
activate_page(page);
+out:
+ pte_unmap_unlock(pte, ptl);
+ return found;
}

static int unuse_pte_range(struct vm_area_struct *vma, pmd_t *pmd,
@@ -528,22 +541,33 @@ static int unuse_pte_range(struct vm_are
{
    pte_t swp_pte = swp_entry_to_pte(entry);
    pte_t *pte;
- spinlock_t *ptl;
    int found = 0;

- pte = pte_offset_map_lock(vma->vm_mm, pmd, addr, &ptl);
+ /*
+ * We don't actually need pte lock while scanning for swp_pte: since
+ * we hold page lock and mmap_sem, swp_pte cannot be inserted into the
+ * page table while we're scanning; though it could get zapped, and on
+ * some architectures (e.g. x86_32 with PAE) we might catch a glimpse
+ * of unmatched parts which look like swp_pte, so unuse_pte must
+ * recheck under pte lock. Scanning without pte lock lets it be
+ * preemptible whenever CONFIG_PREEMPT but not CONFIG_HIGHPTE.
+ */
+ pte = pte_offset_map(pmd, addr);
    do {
        /*
         * swapoff spends a _lot_ of time in this loop!
         * Test inline before going to call unuse_pte.
        */
        if (unlikely(pte_same(*pte, swp_pte))) {
- unuse_pte(vma, pte++, addr, entry, page);
        - found = 1;
        - break;
+ pte_unmap(pte);
+ found = unuse_pte(vma, pmd, addr, entry, page);
+ if (found)
+ goto out;
+ pte = pte_offset_map(pmd, addr);
    }
} while (pte++, addr += PAGE_SIZE, addr != end);
- pte_unmap_unlock(pte - 1, ptl);
+ pte_unmap(pte - 1);
+out:
    return found;
}

```

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
