
Subject: Re: [PATCH] pidns: Place under CONFIG_EXPERIMENTAL (take 2)
Posted by [Adrian Bunk](#) on Sat, 27 Oct 2007 05:17:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, Oct 26, 2007 at 10:40:12PM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> Adrian Bunk <bunk@kernel.org> writes:

>

> > There isn't any hard semantics behind what is marked EXPERIMENTAL and
> > what not. In it's current state, we could even consider removing the
> > EXPERIMENTAL option and all dependencies on EXPERIMENTAL.

>

> Well I do know at least some of the things that depend on experimental
> are legitimate.

>

> I wonder if the problem is that we don't police experimental well
> enough.

>

> > Currently CONFIG_EXPERIMENTAL=n would cost a distribution a three digit
> > number of device drivers plus several features like e.g. NFSv4.

>

> I can see a distribution carefully cherry picking things, that the
> have an intimate knowledge about out of experimental but it doesn't
> sound right for taking things out of EXPERIMENTAL to be routine.

>

> I know I'm a little slow about getting around to it but when ever I
> have a feature that isn't EXPERIMENTAL anymore I remove the tag.

Part of the picture might be that code that was included into the kernel
usually is in a state that it works at least most time for most of the
people.

And when you think about distributions, it's hard to imagine why a
distribution should not enable more or less all EXPERIMENTAL device
drivers - an EXPERIMENTAL driver is much better than no driver for this
hardware at all.

> Eric

cu

Adrian

--

"Is there not promise of rain?" Ling Tan asked suddenly out
of the darkness. There had been need of rain for many days.

"Only a promise," Lao Er said.

Pearl S. Buck - Dragon Seed

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
