
Subject: Re: [PATCH] pidns: Place under CONFIG_EXPERIMENTAL (take 2)

Posted by [Adrian Bunk](#) on Sat, 27 Oct 2007 00:24:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, Oct 26, 2007 at 03:59:29PM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> "Kir Kolyshkin" <kir@swsoft.com> writes:

>
> > Eric,
> >
> > Could you please hold off the horses a bit and wait till Pavel Emelyanov
> > returns? It means next Monday; he's currently at a conference whose organisers
> > don't provide internet access.
>
> When we decided to go top down (i.e. user interface first) instead of
> bottom up with the pid namespace implementation it was my
> understanding that we had agreed we would make the pid namespaces
> depend on CONFIG_EXPERIMENTAL so that we wouldn't be stuck forever
> supporting early ABI mistakes.
>...

CONFIG_EXPERIMENTAL is a weak hint that some code might not (yet) be in a perfect state, but it does not have any semantics regarding userspace ABIs.

A dependency on BROKEN seems more appropriate.

> Eric

cu
Adrian

--

"Is there not promise of rain?" Ling Tan asked suddenly out of the darkness. There had been need of rain for many days.

"Only a promise," Lao Er said.

Pearl S. Buck - Dragon Seed

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
