

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] pidns: Place under CONFIG\_EXPERIMENTAL (take 2)  
Posted by [Adrian Bunk](#) on Sat, 27 Oct 2007 00:24:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Fri, Oct 26, 2007 at 03:59:29PM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> "Kir Kolyshkin" <[kir@swsoft.com](mailto:kir@swsoft.com)> writes:

>  
> > Eric,  
> >  
> > Could you please hold off the horses a bit and wait till Pavel Emelyanov  
> > returns? It means next Monday; he's currently at a conference whose organisers  
> > don't provide internet access.  
>  
> When we decided to go top down (i.e. user interface first) instead of  
> bottom up with the pid namespace implementation it was my  
> understanding that we had agreed we would make the pid namespaces  
> depend on CONFIG\_EXPERIMENTAL so that we wouldn't be stuck forever  
> supporting early ABI mistakes.  
>...

CONFIG\_EXPERIMENTAL is a weak hint that some code might not (yet) be in  
a perfect state, but it does not have any semantics regarding  
userspace ABIs.

A dependency on BROKEN seems more appropriate.

> Eric

cu  
Adrian

--

"Is there not promise of rain?" Ling Tan asked suddenly out  
of the darkness. There had been need of rain for many days.  
"Only a promise," Lao Er said.

Pearl S. Buck - Dragon Seed

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---