
Subject: Re: [PATCH] Masquerade sender information
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Fri, 02 Nov 2007 14:05:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> sukadev@us.ibm.com writes:

```
>
>> +static void masquerade_sender(struct task_struct *t, struct sigqueue *q)
>> +{
>> +    /*
>> +     * If the sender does not have a pid_t in the receiver's active
>> +     * pid namespace, set si_pid to 0 and pretend signal originated
>> +     * from the kernel.
>> +     */
>> +    if (!pid_ns_equal(t)) {
>> +        q->info.si_pid = 0;
>> +        q->info.si_uid = 0;
>> +        q->info.si_code = SI_KERNEL;
>> +    }
>> +}
```

>
> It looks like we are hooked in the right place. However the way we
> are handling this appears wrong.

>
> First. If we have an si_code that does not use si_pid then we should
> not be changing si_pid, because the structure is a union and that field
> is not always a pid value.

>
>
> My gut feel says the code should be something like:

```
>
> switch (q->info->si_code & __SI_MASK) {
> case __SI_KILL:
> case __SI_CHILD:
> case __SI_RT:
> case __MESQ:
>     q->info->si_pid = task_pid_nr_ns(current, t->nsproxy->pid_ns);
>     break;
> }
```

IMHO, it should be

```
q->info->si_pid = 0.
```

we're trying to cover the case where the sender does not have a pid_t in the receiver's active pid namespace.

C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
