
Subject: Re: [PATCH] Masquerade sender information

Posted by [serue](#) on Thu, 01 Nov 2007 16:50:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com):

> sukadev@us.ibm.com writes:

>

> > From: Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@us.ibm.com>

> > Subject: [PATCH] Masquerade sender information

> >

> > With multiple pid namespaces, sender of a signal could be in an ancestor

> > namespace of the receiver and so the sender will not have a valid 'pid_t'

> > in the receiver's namespace.

> >

> > In this case, masquerade the 'siginfo' for the signal to pretend that the

> > signal originated from the kernel.

>

> At first glance this looks ok. I think the only case where we can

> be sending a signal from inside a pid namespace to something not

> in a child pid namespace is if we are the kernel. In which case

Are we now blocking F_SETOWN|F_SETSIG signals to outside our pid namespace? mq_notify? (I didn't think we were)

> we also want si_pid = 0.

>

> If that holds this problem is easier then I was thinking it would

> be.

>

> Eric

>

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
