
Subject: Re: [RFC] Virtualization steps

Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Mon, 27 Mar 2006 18:45:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> writes:

> On Fri, Mar 24, 2006 at 08:19:59PM +0300, Kirill Korotaev wrote:

>> Eric, Herbert,

>>

>> I think it is quite clear, that without some agreement on all these
>> virtualization issues, we won't be able to commit anything good to
>> mainstream. My idea is to gather our efforts to get consensus on most
>> clean parts of code first and commit them one by one.

>>

>> The proposal is quite simple. We have 4 parties in this conversation
>> (maybe more?): IBM guys, OpenVZ, VServer and Eric Biederman. We
>> discuss the areas which should be considered step by step. Send
>> patches for each area, discuss, come to some agreement and all 4
>> parties Sign-Off the patch. After that it goes to Andrew/Linus.
>> Worth trying?

>

> sounds good to me, as long as we do not consider
> the patches 'final' atm .. because I think we should
> try to test them with _all_ currently existing solutions
> first ... we do not need to bother Andrew with stuff
> which doesn't work for the existing and future 'users'.

>

> so IMHO, we should make a kernel branch (Eric or Sam
> are probably willing to maintain that), which we keep
> in-sync with mainline (not necessarily git, but at
> least snapshot wise), where we put all the patches
> we agree on, and each party should then adjust the
> existing solution to this kernel, so we get some deep
> testing in the process, and everybody can see if it
> 'works' for him or not ...

ACK. A collection of patches that we can all agree
on sounds like something worth aiming for.

It looks like Kirill last round of patches can form
a nucleus for that. So far I have seen plenty of technical
objects but no objections to the general direction.

So agreement appears possible.

Eric
