

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] pidns: Place under CONFIG\_EXPERIMENTAL (take 2)  
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Mon, 29 Oct 2007 10:13:42 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> Adrian Bunk <bunk@kernel.org> writes:

>

>> On Sun, Oct 28, 2007 at 09:12:34AM -0700, Jeremy Fitzhardinge wrote:

>>> Eric W. Biederman wrote:

>>>> Roughly that sounds like CONFIG\_EXPERIMENTAL to me. But I would  
>>>> be happy to hear if someone has a better idea.

>>> Rather than overload an existing config option, why not add one with the  
>>> specific semantics you want: CONFIG\_UNSTABLE\_UABI. The problem seems  
>>> like one which which may occur again, though one hopes not too often. I  
>>> guess the risk is that people will leave their subsystems depending on  
>>> it permanently (sysfs?), so it ends up being set all the time and  
>>> becomes as useless as EXPERIMENTAL...

>> Then let SYSFS depend on UNSTABLE\_UABI for the next 10 years and we have  
>> an excuse for breaking the ABI with each new kernel...

>>

>> Either the ABI is stable or it should not be exposed to users at all.

>

> If we need a new config for it. CONFIG\_IMMATURE is the closest I  
> can think of.

Pavel also has a CONFIG\_NAMESPACES patch that he should be resending to  
andrew when 2.6.24-rc1-mm1 is released. pidns will go under this option,  
like all the other namespaces, and should protect the distros from shipping  
any immature namespace.

C.

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---