
Subject: Re: [PATCH] pidns: Place under CONFIG_EXPERIMENTAL (take 2)
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Mon, 29 Oct 2007 10:13:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> Adrian Bunk <bunk@kernel.org> writes:

>

>> On Sun, Oct 28, 2007 at 09:12:34AM -0700, Jeremy Fitzhardinge wrote:

>>> Eric W. Biederman wrote:

>>>> Roughly that sounds like CONFIG_EXPERIMENTAL to me. But I would
>>>> be happy to hear if someone has a better idea.

>>> Rather than overload an existing config option, why not add one with the
>>> specific semantics you want: CONFIG_UNSTABLE_UABI. The problem seems
>>> like one which which may occur again, though one hopes not too often. I
>>> guess the risk is that people will leave their subsystems depending on
>>> it permanently (sysfs?), so it ends up being set all the time and
>>> becomes as useless as EXPERIMENTAL...

>> Then let SYSFS depend on UNSTABLE_UABI for the next 10 years and we have
>> an excuse for breaking the ABI with each new kernel...

>>

>> Either the ABI is stable or it should not be exposed to users at all.

>

> If we need a new config for it. CONFIG_IMMATURE is the closest I

> can think of.

Pavel also has a CONFIG_NAMESPACES patch that he should be resending to
andrew when 2.6.24-rc1-mm1 is released. pidns will go under this option,
like all the other namespaces, and should protect the distros from shipping
any immature namespace.

C.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
