Subject: Re: [PATCH] pidns: Place under CONFIG_EXPERIMENTAL (take 2)
Posted by ebiederm on Sat, 27 Oct 2007 04:40:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Adrian Bunk <bunk@kernel.org> writes:

> There isn't any hard semantics behind what is marked EXPERIMENTAL and
> what not. In it's current state, we could even consider removing the
> EXPERIMENTAL option and all dependencies on EXPERIMENTAL.

Well I do know at least some of the things that depend on experimental
are legitimate.

I wonder if the problem is that we don't police experimental well
enough.

> Currently CONFIG_EXPERIMENTAL=n would cost a distribution a three digit
> number of device drivers plus several features like e.g. NFSv4.

I can see a distribution carefully cherry picking things, that the
have an intimate knowledge about out of experimental but it doesn't
sound right for taking things out of EXPERIMENTAL to be routine.

I know I'm a little slow about getting around to it but when ever I
have a feature that isn't EXPERIMENTAL anymore I remove the tag.

Eric

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers