Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/2] CFS CGroup: Report usage Posted by Paul Menage on Tue, 23 Oct 2007 06:09:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 10/22/07, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

>

> I think we also need the notion of load, like we have in cpu_acct.c

Yes, a notion of load would be good - but the "load" calculated by cpu_acct.c isn't all that useful yet - it's just a total of the CPU cycles used in the 10 second time interval prior to the current interval. Something that's more analagous to the real machine load would be nice, and I hope the numbers could be made available via CFS.

- > Don't we need to do a css_get() on the cgrp to ensure that the cgroup
- > does not go away if it's empty and someone does an rmdir on it?

See my other email. Yes, I think we need more here, but probably something more generic.

Paul

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers