
Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/2] CFS CGroup: Report usage
Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Tue, 23 Oct 2007 06:09:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 10/22/07, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

>

> I think we also need the notion of load, like we have in `cpu_acct.c`

Yes, a notion of load would be good - but the "load" calculated by `cpu_acct.c` isn't all that useful yet - it's just a total of the CPU cycles used in the 10 second time interval prior to the current interval. Something that's more analagous to the real machine load would be nice, and I hope the numbers could be made available via CFS.

> Don't we need to do a `css_get()` on the `cgrp` to ensure that the `cgroup`
> does not go away if it's empty and someone does an `rmdir` on it?

See my other email. Yes, I think we need more here, but probably something more generic.

Paul

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
