Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/5] net: Modify all rtnetlink methods to only work in the initial namespace

Posted by ebiederm on Thu, 11 Oct 2007 07:57:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Denis V. Lunev" <den@sw.ru> writes:

- >> This patchset does need to get rebased on top of net-2.6.25 when it
- >> opens and hopefully your patchset to remove the unnecessary work in
- >> rtnl unlock, and to really process netlink requests in process
- >> context. I see a need for the more fundamental change you seem to
- >> be advocating.

Grr. That last sentence should have been I do not see a need for the more fundamental change you seem to be advocating.

- > I see that current patchset of RTNL code is attached. I'll start the
- > next piece of work next week after some reaction from people.
- > I understand your position. But still have some points :)
- > First. A real-life usecase we have recently fixed in the OpenVZ. I have
- > described it in the previous post, but (may be) not in great details.
- > UDP buffers management for outgoing traffic.
- > The packet carries over a destructor, which is called in skb_orphan in
- > the real networking device. This destructor allows to gueue more
- > packets. There is no problem in the current implementation. But there is
- > one after namespace introduction in the following configuration:
- > [NS1] <-> [NS2] <-> [world]
- > There are two namespaces on the host. One of them is connected to the
- > outside world via another and the packet follows usual forwarding path
- > in NS2.

Yes. We can't quite do that today because of the missing ipv4 support, but the basic infrastructure exists to describe this is already merged.

- > The problem: if we call skb_orphan in [NS1] outgoing device, there is a
- > great possibility to have a really huge packet drop in [NS2] on queuing
- > operation.

Yes. Removing skb_orphan from veth to solve this looks like a pretty substantial hack. A clean solution is more likely to resemble ethernet pause frames so we temporarily plug the virtual device. Although there are issues with that as currently virtual devices don't have queues.

- > In OpenVZ we have added skb orphan into receive path (tcp v4 rcv,
- > __udp4_lib_rcv etc) and removed one from our virtual devices. As
- > unfortunate side effect we have packet in NS2 with a socket from NS1.

That also does some really nasty things to accounting. Using the macvlan devices solve all of this rather neatly and with higher performance.

- > So, we should have an architectural solution for this from a beginning.
- > It will be too late to hack around and change namespace lookup scheme.

However what you are specifically concerned about seems to be using skb->sk->sk_net. Currently the only place I use this is in the rtnetlink code because the functions that process packets are not also passed a socket. Those functions we could easily pass in an explicit namespace or a socket parameter, and so those functions would not care.

- > I see that we should adopt a generic approach:
- > each concrete packet belongs to a concrete namespace
- > if function has a packet as a parameter, we should get namespace from > packet

This approach when suggested in earlier review was pretty substantially shot down. Shrinking the size of struct sk buff is currently an ongoing todo for the linux networking stack.

- > if skb->dev is present we should get namespace as skb->dev->nd net
- > otherwise we should get skb->sk->sk net. If skb->sk is NULL -> this is
- > a bug

Currently killing skb->dev is a todo list item, so using it more is probably the wrong approach.

I do agree the duplication information between fields on the skb and fields passed to functions is a pain. Moving more onto the skb seems contrary to the how the rest of the networking stack would like to go, so it is likely better to simply remove skb->dev and pass an explicit dev parameter instead. Please note that parameters passed explicitly will live in registers and will be guite fast.

> So, for the case of all netfilter calls we'll have a pskb->dev->nd_net > defined correctly.

That would certainly be a nice extra, but that really seems the wrong way to go. I would rather add an explicit struct net *net parameter to nf hookfn.

Page 3 of 3 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum