Subject: Re: [PATCHSET 3/4] sysfs: divorce sysfs from kobject and driver model Posted by Greg KH on Wed, 10 Oct 2007 20:44:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Wed, Oct 10, 2007 at 07:16:48AM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> Greg KH <greg@kroah.com> writes:
>
> > On Fri, Oct 05, 2007 at 06:12:41AM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> >> Greg KH <greg@kroah.com> writes:
> >> >
>>> > Also fun is that the dev file implementation needs to be able to
>>> > report different major:minor numbers based on which mount of
>>> >> sysfs we are dealing with.
> >> >
>>> Um, no, that's not going to happen. /dev/sda will _always_ have the
>>> same major:minor number, as defined by the LSB spec. You can not break
>>> that at all. So while you might not want to show all mounts
>>> /sys/devices/block/sda/ the ones that you do, will all have the LSB
>>> defined major:minor number assigned to it.
>>> Hmm. If that is in the LSB it must come from
>>> Documentation/devices.txt
> > Yes, that is the requirement.
>>> I'm not after changing the user visible major/minor assignments.
> >
> > Oh, I misunderstood what you wrote above then.
>
> My above sentence is slightly misleading. That should have been.
> I am not after changing the device name to major:minor assignments
> as specified in Documentation/devices.txt.
>
> So within a single device namespace everything is normal and as it
> always has been. Weirdness only ensues when you look across device
> namespaces.
>>> Let me see if a concrete example will help. Suppose I have
>>> have a SAN with two disks: disk-1 and disk-2. I have
>>> two machines A and B. On machine A I get the mapping:
>>> sda -> disk-1, sdb ->disk-2. On machine B I wind up with
>>> a different probe order so I get the mapping: sda -> disk-2
> >> sdb ->disk-1.
> >
> Ok.
>>> To be very clear by sda I mean the block device with major 8 and
>>> minor 0, and by sdb I mean the block device with major 8 and minor
```

>> > So I decide I want an environment on machine B that looks just
> >> like the environment on machine A, so I can bring transfer over
> >> a running program or whatever. So I run around looking at UUID
> >> labels and what not and I discover that the machine B knows disk-1 as
> >> sdb and that machine A knows disk-1 as sda. So I want to say:
> >> /sys/devices/block/sdb show up in this other device namespace as
> >> /sys/devices/block/sda.
, >
>>
> > Ah, but if you do that then the "other" device namespace would have
> > /sys/devices/block/sda/dev be 8:16, right?
>
> No. The "other" device namespace I would construct on machine B to
> look just like the device namespace that existed on machine A.
> Making /sys/devices/block/sda would still be 8:0.
>
> So to be very clear on machine B when talking about disk-1 I would have.
> initial device namespace:
> /sys/devices/block/sdb
> /sys/devices/block/sdb/dev 8:16
>
> "other" device namespace:
> /sys/devices/block/sda
> /sys/devices/block/sda/dev 8:0
> Circilarly, an acachina Duylan talling about diels Olympuld baye
> Similarly on machine B when talking about disk-2 I would have.
> initial device namespace:
> /sys/devices/block/sda
> /sys/devices/block/sda/dev 8:0
> "other" device namespace:
> "other" device namespace:> /sys/devices/block/sdb
/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
> /sys/devices/block/sdb/dev 8:16 >
> So between the two devices namespaces on machine B the two disks
> would exchange their user visible identities.
Would oxonaring their deer violate identifiate.
Ah, ok, that makes more sense.
And seems quite difficult to do, good luck with that :)
greg k-h
Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Page 3 of 3 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum