
Subject: Re: [PATCH][NETNS] Move some code into __init section when CONFIG_NET_NS=n

Posted by [davem](#) on Mon, 08 Oct 2007 07:17:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

From: Alexey Dobriyan <adobriyan@sw.ru>

Date: Thu, 4 Oct 2007 18:02:02 +0400

> On Thu, Oct 04, 2007 at 05:54:11PM +0400, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

> > With the net namespaces many code leaved the __init section,

> > thus making the kernel occupy more memory than it did before.

> > Since we have a config option that prohibits the namespace

> > creation, the functions that initialize/finalize some netns

> > stuff are simply not needed and can be freed after the boot.

> >

> > Currently, this is almost not noticeable, since few calls

> > are no longer in __init, but when the namespaces will be

> > merged it will be possible to free more code. I propose to

> > use the __net_init, __net_exit and __net_initdata "attributes"

> > for functions/variables that are not used if the CONFIG_NET_NS

> > is not set to save more space in memory.

>

> > +#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS

> > +#define __net_init

> > +#define __net_exit

> > +#define __net_initdata

> > +#else

> > +#define __net_init __init

> > +#define __net_exit __exit

> > +#define __net_initdata __initdata

> > +#endif

>

> Yet another set of double-underscored section annotations is the last thing

> that is needed, methinks. :)

I'm not so sure. I think double-underscores are a powerful deterrant for developers. Over time folks have learned that this prefix in an interface name means "semantics are non-trivial, use with care" and that definitely applies to init sections.

I'm going to apply Pavel's patch, if you want to touch it up do so as a followon patch, thanks!
