Subject: Re: [PATCH] task containersv11 add tasks file interface fix for cpusets Posted by Paul Menage on Sat, 06 Oct 2007 21:11:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 10/6/07, David Rientjes <rientjes@google.com> wrote:

- > The getting and putting of the tasks will prevent them from exiting or
- > being deallocated prematurely. But this is also a critical section that
- > will need to be protected by some mutex so it doesn't race with other
- > set_cpus_allowed().

Is that necessary? If some other process calls set_cpus_allowed() concurrently with a cpuset cpus update, it's not clear that there's any defined serialization semantics that have to be achieved, as long as the end result is that the task's cpus_allowed are within the cpuset's cpus_allowed.

Paul

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers