Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/5] Kernel memory accounting container (v5) Posted by Pavel Emelianov on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 13:17:48 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Paul Menage wrote:

- > On 10/2/07, Pavel Emelyanov < xemul@openvz.org> wrote:
- >> Such a lookup would require a hastable or something similar. We already
- >> have such a bad experience (with OpenVZ RSS fractions accounting for
- >> example). Hash lookups imply the CPU caches screwup and hurt the performance.
- >> See also the comment below.

>

- > I think you could do it with an array lookup if you assigned an index
- > to each cache as it was created, and used that as an offset into a
- > per-cgroup array.

>

- >> I thought the same some time ago and tried to make a per-beancounter kmem
- >> caches. The result was awful the memory waste was much larger than in the
- >> case of pointer-per-object approach.
- > OK, fair enough.

>

- > Was this with a large number of bean counters? I imagine that with a
- > small number, the waste might be rather more reasonable.

Yup. I do not remember the exact number, but this model didn't scale well enough in respect to the number of beancounters.

> Paul

>