
Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/3] Prepare pid_nr() etc functions to work with not-NULL pids
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2007 13:16:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Matt Mackall wrote:

> On Thu, Oct 04, 2007 at 12:54:17PM +0400, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

>> Matt Mackall wrote:

>>> On Wed, Oct 03, 2007 at 06:20:43PM +0400, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

>>>> Just make the __pid_nr() etc functions that expect the argument

>>>> to always be not NULL.

>>>>

>>>> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>

>>>> static inline pid_t pid_nr(struct pid *pid)

>>>> {

>>>> pid_t nr = 0;

>>>> if (pid)

>>>> - nr = pid->numbers[0].nr;

>>>> + nr = __pid_nr(pid);

>>>> return nr;

>>>> }

>>> Is there a patch that removes these inlines? Otherwise this looks good

>>> to me.

>> Not yet. Some of are uninline already, but others are not. I'd like

>> to make some testing before uninline them.

>

> I was asking about the whole function, actually, not the keyword. Is

> this function not equivalent to __pid_nr now?

Oh, I see. I haven't managed to check the whole kernel yet that all
the users of pid_xnr() calls pass not-null pointer there. This is
in TODO list.

Thanks,
Pavel
