Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/3] Prepare pid_nr() etc functions to work with not-NULL pids Posted by Matt Mackall on Thu, 04 Oct 2007 17:13:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Thu, Oct 04, 2007 at 12:54:17PM +0400, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
> Matt Mackall wrote:
>> On Wed, Oct 03, 2007 at 06:20:43PM +0400, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
> >> Just make the __pid_nr() etc functions that expect the argument
>>> to always be not NULL.
> >>
> >> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>
>>> static inline pid_t pid_nr(struct pid *pid)
> >> {
>>> pid_t nr = 0;
>>> if (pid)
>>> - nr = pid->numbers[0].nr;
>>> + nr = __pid_nr(pid);
>>> return nr;
>>> }
> >
>> Is there a patch that removes these inlines? Otherwise this looks good
> > to me.
> Not yet. Some of are uninlined already, but others are not. I'd like
> to make some testing before uninline them.
I was asking about the whole function, actually, not the keyword. Is
this function not equivalent to __pid_nr now?
Mathematics is the supreme nostalgia of our time.
```