Subject: Re: [patch -mm 1/5] mqueue namespace : add struct mq_namespace
Posted by ebiederm on Wed, 03 Oct 2007 17:03:26 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

sukadev@us.ibm.com writes:

> Cedric Le Goater [clg@fr.ibm.com] wrote:
> | > I think you and Eric (and I) are disagreeing about those limitations.
> | > You take it for granted that a sibling pidns is off limits for signals.
> | > But the signal wasn't sent using a pid, but using a file (in SIGIO
> | > case). So since the fs was shared, the signal should be sent. An
> | > event happened, and the receiver wants to know about it.
> |
> | seen that way I agree.
> |
> | si_code is set to SI_MESGQ, but what do we put in si_pid ? 0 ?
> |
> | we could use the si_errno to pass extra info, like the sending process
> | lives in a // world ...
>
> Does the receiver need to know that sender is in a // world ?

What is a // world ?

Eric

_____

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers