
Subject: Re: [patch -mm 1/5] mqueue namespace : add struct mq_namespace
Posted by [Sukadev Bhattiprolu](#) on Wed, 03 Oct 2007 16:56:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cedric Le Goater [clg@fr.ibm.com] wrote:

| > I think you and Eric (and I) are disagreeing about those limitations.
| > You take it for granted that a sibling pidns is off limits for signals.
| > But the signal wasn't sent using a pid, but using a file (in SIGIO
| > case). So since the fs was shared, the signal should be sent. An
| > event happened, and the receiver wants to know about it.

|
| seen that way I agree.

|
| si_code is set to SI_MESGQ, but what do we put in si_pid ? 0 ?

|
| we could use the si_errno to pass extra info, like the sending process
| lives in a // world ...

Does the receiver need to know that sender is in a // world ?

|
| C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
