> > - There are many instances of the local variable 'cont', referring
> >   to a struct cgroup pointer. I presume the spelling 'cont' is a
> >   holdover from the time when we called these containers.
>
> Yes, and since cgroup is short for "control group", "cont" still
> seemed like a reasonable abbreviation. (And made the automatic
> renaming much simpler).

The following will change all 'cont' words to your choice (I doubt
you want to use 'XXXX' as I did here) in cgroup.c:

    sed -i -r 's/(\W|^)cont(\W|$)/\1XXXX\2/g' kernel/cgroup.c

I can't say for sure, but I suspect that if cgroups had always
been cgroups (short for control groups), then these local 'cont'
variables would have a different name. One can often, as in this
case, find some justification for most any name. The question is
which name is most quickly and easily understood.

... yes ... I'm a stickler for names ... sorry.

--
                I won't rest till it's the best ...
                Programmer, Linux Scalability
                Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com> 1.925.600.0401
_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers