

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] task containersv11 add tasks file interface fix for cpusets

Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Wed, 03 Oct 2007 18:10:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 10/3/07, Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com> wrote:

>

> Yes, something in user space has to do it. It's part of the  
> kernel-user cpuset API. If you change a cpuset's 'cpus', then  
> you have to rewrite each pid in its 'tasks' file back to that  
> 'tasks' file in order to get that 'cpus' change to be applied  
> to the task struct cpus\_allowed of each task, and thereby visible  
> to the scheduler.

What's the rationale for this?

Given that later in cpusets.txt you say:

>If hotplug functionality is used  
>to remove all the CPUs that are currently assigned to a cpuset,  
>then the kernel will automatically update the cpus\_allowed of all  
>tasks attached to CPUs in that cpuset to allow all CPUs

why can't the same thing be done when changing the 'cpus' file manually.

What's wrong with, in update\_cpumask(), doing a loop across all  
members of the cgroup and updating their cpus\_allowed fields?

The existing cpusets API is broken, since a new child can always be  
forked between reading the tasks file and doing the writes.

Paul

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---