Subject: Re: [PATCH] mark read_crX() asm code as volatile Posted by hpa on Tue, 02 Oct 2007 19:21:22 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Arjan van de Ven wrote:

> On Tue, 02 Oct 2007 18:08:32 +0400

> Kirill Korotaev <dev@openvz.org> wrote:

> Some gcc versions (I checked at least 4.1.1 from RHEL5 & 4.1.2 from >> gentoo) can generate incorrect code with read_crX()/write_crX()

>> functions mix up, due to cached results of read_crX().

>> I'm not so sure volatile is the right answer, as compared to giving the > asm more strict contraints....

> asm volatile tends to mean something else than "the result has > changed"....
>

One of the aspect of volatility is "the result will change in ways you (gcc) just don't understand."

-hpa