
Subject: Re: [patch -mm 1/5] mqueue namespace : add struct mq_namespace

Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Tue, 02 Oct 2007 17:02:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com> writes:

>>> however, we have an issue with the signal notification in __do_notify()

>>> we could kill a process in a different pid namespace.

>>

>> So I took a quick look at the code as it is (before this patchset)

>> and the taking a reference to a socket and the taking a reference to

>> a struct pid should do the right thing when we intersect with other

>> namespaces. It certainly does not look like a fundamental issue.

>

> right. this should be covered when the pid namespace signal handling is

> complete. kill_pid_info() should fail to send a signal to a sibling or

> a parent pid namespace.

Huh?

If we call sys_mq_notify and we become the owner then it should
not be a problem to send a signal to us.

> I guess we should add a WARNING() to say that we're attempting to do so.

I don't understand the problem that you are seeing.

Eric

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
