

---

Subject: Re: Re: [PATCH 2/5] net: Make rtnetlink infrastructure network namespace aware

Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Mon, 01 Oct 2007 08:45:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Denis V. Lunev" <den@sw.ru> writes:

> The presence of the message in the queue during rtnl\_unlock is quite  
> possible as normal user->kernel message processing path for rtnl is the  
> following:

```
>  
> netlink_sendmsg  
>   netlink_unicast  
>     netlink_sendskb  
>       skb_queue_tail  
>         netlink_data_ready  
>           rtnetlink_rcv  
>             mutex_lock(&rtnl_mutex);  
>               netlink_run_queue(sk, qlen, &rtnetlink_rcv_msg);  
>                 mutex_unlock(&rtnl_mutex);  
>
```

> so, the presence of the packet in the rtnl queue on rtnl\_unlock is  
> normal race with a rtnetlink\_rcv for me.

Yes. That is what I saw in practice as well.  
Thanks for confirming this.

It happened to be reproducible because I had a dhcp client asking  
for a list of links in parallel with the actual link coming up  
during boot.

Looking at netlink\_unicast and netlink\_broadcast I am generally  
convinced that we can remove the call of sk\_data\_ready in  
rtnl\_unlock. I think those are the only two possible paths  
through there and I don't see how we could miss a processing a  
packet on the way through there.

What would be nice is if we could figure out how to eliminate  
this race. As that would allow netlink packets to be processed  
synchronously and we could actually use current for security  
checks, and for getting the context of the calling process.

Right now we are 99% of the way there but because of the above  
race the code must all be written as if netlink packets were coming  
in completely asynchronously. Which is unfortunate and a pain.

Eric

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---