
Subject: Re: [PATCH 01/29] task containersv11 basic task container framework
Posted by [akpm](#) on Sun, 30 Sep 2007 09:29:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sun, 30 Sep 2007 02:15:36 -0700 Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com> wrote:

> Andrew wrote:

> > And I think that's OK, because we don't care about git bisectability with
> > CONFIG_CGROUPS=y (I think?) Anyone who is bisecting through the middle of
> > the containers patch series is not searching for a containers problem, so
> > they can just configure it all off.

>

> Ok - I guess.

>

> In *-mm, this seems fine. I'd have figured that in Linus's tree, a wider
> variety of people will be bisecting, some of them with CONFIG_CGROUPS=y
> who don't even know what a CGROUP is, and so we'd want bisect to work
> for them.

Well obviously the situation is less than ideal, but it's livable with.

If one of their bisection points precedes the cgroups patch series,
their `make oldconfig' will knock out cgroups for them anyway, so when
their bisection cursor moves within or after the cgroups patches, they'll
still have CONFIG_CGROUPS=n. Serendipity.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
