
Subject: Re: [PATCH] [NETNS45] network namespace locking rules

Posted by [Daniel Lezcano](#) on Fri, 28 Sep 2007 15:10:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Denis V. Lunev wrote:

> Current locking for network namespace list/initialization is broken.
> for_each_net is called under single rtnl_lock in
> register_netdevice_notifier.
>
> Locking:
> net_mutex -> rtnl_lock() -> dev_base_lock
> Reasoning:
> - net_mutex holds serialization of the addition/removal of
 subsystems/modules and the creation/destruction of network
 namespaces as a whole
> - loopback device is one of such subsystems and it takes
 rtnl_lock inside
> - per/namespace RTNL netlink socket requires an iteration over
 namespace list inside rtnl_unlock, which is called inside net_mutex
> Resume:
> net_namespace_list is guarded by both rtnl_lock & net_mutex and
>> can be safely iterated under any of them
>
> Signed-off-by: Denis V. Lunev <den@openvz.org>
>
> -----

[cut]

>
> -void net_lock(void)
> -{
>> - mutex_lock(&net_list_mutex);
> -}
> -
> -void net_unlock(void)
> -{
>> - mutex_unlock(&net_list_mutex);
> -}
> -

net_list_mutex is no more needed, right ? The removing of its declaration is missing (already done in #netns49)

> static struct net *net_alloc(void)
> {
>> return kmem_cache_alloc(net_cachep, GFP_KERNEL);
>> @@ -71,9 +62,9 @@ static void cleanup_net(struct work_struct *work)
> mutex_lock(&net_mutex);

```

>
> /* Don't let anyone else find us. */
> - net_lock();
> + rtnl_lock();
> list_del(&net->list);
> - net_unlock();
> + rtnl_unlock();
>
> /* Run all of the network namespace exit methods */
> pernet_count = 0;
> @@ -193,9 +184,9 @@ struct net *copy_net_ns(unsigned long flags, struct net *old_net)
> if (err)
> goto out_unlock;
>
> - net_lock();
> + rtnl_lock();
> list_add_tail(&new_net->list, &net_namespace_list);
> - net_unlock();
> + rtnl_unlock();
>
>
> out_unlock:
> @@ -220,14 +211,13 @@ static int __init net_ns_init(void)
> mutex_lock(&net_mutex);
> err = setup_net(&init_net);
>
> - net_lock();
> + rtnl_lock();
> list_add_tail(&init_net.list, &net_namespace_list);
> - net_unlock();
> + rtnl_unlock();
>
> mutex_unlock(&net_mutex);
> if (err)
> panic("Could not setup the initial network namespace");
> -
> return 0;
> }
>
```

Eric did already these changes in #netns49.

```

> diff --git a/net/core/rtnetlink.c b/net/core/rtnetlink.c
> index 82ebc23..e610313 100644
> --- a/net/core/rtnetlink.c
> +++ b/net/core/rtnetlink.c
> @@ -73,16 +73,24 @@ void __rtnl_unlock(void)
> void rtnl_unlock(void)
```

```

> {
>   struct net *net;
> - mutex_unlock(&rtnl_mutex);
> -
> - net_lock();
> +
> +retry:
>   for_each_net(net) {
>     struct sock *rtnl = net->rtnl;
> +
> +   if (rtnl == NULL || rtnl->sk_receive_queue.qlen == 0)
> +     continue;
> +
> +   get_net(net);
> +   mutex_unlock(&rtnl_mutex);
>   if (rtnl && rtnl->sk_receive_queue.qlen)
      ~~~~~

```

this statement is always true with the previous one.

```

>   rtnl->sk_data_ready(rtnl, 0);
> - }
> - net_unlock();
> + mutex_lock(&rtnl_mutex);
> + put_net(net);
>
> + goto retry;

```

Why do you need to return to the beginning of the list ?

```

> + }
> + mutex_unlock(&rtnl_mutex);
>   netdev_run_todo();
> }

```

Denis, can you explain why this part must be modified ?

Containers mailing list
 Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>