
Subject: Re: [PATCH] net: Add network namespace clone & unshare support.
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Fri, 28 Sep 2007 09:12:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> David Miller <davem@davemloft.net> writes:

>

>> Eric, pick an appropriate new non-conflicting number NOW.

>

> Done. My apologies for the confusion. I thought the
> way Cedric and the IBM guys were testing someone would have
> shouted at me long before now.

>

>> This adds unnecessary extra work for Andrew Morton, which he has
>> enough of already.

>

> Cedric made a good point that we will have conflicts of code
> being added to the same place in nsproxy.c and the like. So
> I copied Andrew to give him a heads up.

here's a suggestion,

we could keep the net namespace unshare patch out of david's tree,
let andrew merge and release a new -mm and, then, send the net namespace
unshare patch to andrew. that should keep nsproxy out of the andrew's
merge challenge. But david's tree will miss the unshare part for a while.

As for the clone flags, the values *must not* conflict but the patches
probably will.

C.

> I will gladly do what I can, to help. Working against 3 trees
> development at the moment is a bit of a development challenge.

>

> Eric

>

> _____
> Containers mailing list

> Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

> <https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

>

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
