Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/4] net: Dynamically allocate the per cpu counters for the loopback device.

Posted by ebiederm on Thu, 27 Sep 2007 20:44:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Miller <davem@davemloft.net> writes:

```
> From: ebiederm@xmission.com (Eric W. Biederman)
> Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2007 01:48:00 -0600
>
```

- >> I'm not doing get_cpu/put_cpu so does the comment make sense
- >> in relationship to per cpu ptr?

>

- > It is possible. But someone would need to go check for
- > sure.

Verified.

hard_start_xmit is called inside of a rcu_read_lock_bh(),rcu_read_unlock_bh() pair. Which means the code will only run on one cpu.

Therefore we do not need get_cpu/put_cpu.

In addition per_cpu_ptr is valid. As it is just a lookup into a NR_CPUS sized array by smp_processor_id() to return the address of the specific cpu.

The only difference between per_cpu_ptr and __get_cpu_var() are the implementation details between statically allocated and dynamically allocated per cpu state.

So the comment is still valid, and still interesting it just should say per_cpu_ptr instead of __get_cpu_var.

Signed-off-by: "Eric W. Biederman" <ebiederm@xmission.com>

diff --git a/drivers/net/loopback.c b/drivers/net/loopback.c index 0f9d8c6..756e267 100644
--- a/drivers/net/loopback.c
+++ b/drivers/net/loopback.c

@ @ -154,7 +154,7 @ @ static int loopback_xmit(struct sk_buff *skb, struct net_device *dev) #endif

dev->last_rx = jiffies;

- /* it's OK to use __get_cpu_var() because BHs are off */
- + /* it's OK to use per_cpu_ptr() because BHs are off */

```
pcpu_lstats = netdev_priv(dev);
lb_stats = per_cpu_ptr(pcpu_lstats, smp_processor_id());
lb_stats->bytes += skb->len;
```

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers