

Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):

>> Hi, guys!

>>

>> I've noticed that compiling out all the core related to

>> cloning and cleaning the new namespace saves us more than

>> a Kbyte (!) from the vmlinux.

>>

>> add/remove: 19/0 grow/shrink: 6/6 up/down: 1532/-336 (1196)

>> function	old	new	delta
>> copy_user_ns	-	181	+181
>> copy_ipcs	-	149	+149
>> copy_utsname	-	120	+120
>> shm_exit_ns	-	106	+106
>> sem_exit_ns	-	106	+106
>> msg_exit_ns	-	106	+106
>> freeary	-	100	+100
>> release_uids	-	95	+95
>> freeque	-	92	+92
>> free_nsproxy	48	99	+51
>> __sem_init_ns	-	45	+45
>> shm_init_ns	-	42	+42
>> sem_init_ns	-	42	+42
>> msg_init_ns	-	42	+42
>> __shm_init_ns	-	38	+38
>> create_new_namespaces		300	335 +35
>> __msg_init_ns	-	31	+31
>> sysvipc_proc_release		5	35 +30
>> free_ipc_ns	-	30	+30
>> do_shm_rmid	-	29	+29
>> shm_release	18	39	+21
>> free_user_ns	-	16	+16
>> sysvipc_proc_open		100	111 +11
>> do_shmat	778	787	+9
>> free_uts_ns	-	5	+5
>> sys_shmctl	1934	1907	-27
>> msg_init	82	47	-35
>> shm_init	92	47	-45
>> sem_init	99	44	-55
>> sys_msgctl	1394	1311	-83
>> sys_semctl	2123	2032	-91

>>

>> Since there already were some questions like "do I need it

>> on my cellphone?" in reply to pid namespaces patches and

>> so on, why don't we make ALL the namespaces cloning code
>> under the config option to make those people happy?
>>
>> Here's the proposed patch.
>
> How about a single config variable for all namespaces?

yes good idea.

C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
