## Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] allow "unlimited" limit value. Posted by David Rientjes on Tue, 25 Sep 2007 19:07:58 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wed, 26 Sep 2007, KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki wrote:

```
> > #define RES_COUNTER_INIFINITY (~0ULL)
> > or some nice name
>>
> Why do we need this at all? We can simply push -1 there and be happy.
>> Hm, can this work now ?
> ==
> echo -1 > /cgroup/memory.limit_in_bytes
> ==
> Or users have to do following for unlimit resource ?
> ==
> echo some-very-very-big-number > /cgroup/memory.limit_in_bytes
>
> I just think when some special value "-1" has a nice nick name, users will
> be happy. If I'm a novice user, I don't imagine I can write -1 to limit value.
> (but ok, tools can hide it for them.)
```

Please simply use 0 to denote unconstrained memory, it's quite obvious that nobody will sanely attach tasks to a cgroup that has no bytes of memory allowed.

In fact, I proposed this in a patch on August 27.

I really don't like the use of ULONG\_MAX to denote the absence of any memory controls for a particular container. I think 0 would be suitable since its use doesn't make any logical sense (you're not going to be assigning a set of tasks to a resource void of pages).

```
Signed-off-by: David Rientjes <rientjes@google.com>
---
Documentation/controllers/memory.txt | 5 ++++-
kernel/res_counter.c | 7 +++++-
2 files changed, 9 insertions(+), 3 deletions(-)

diff --git a/Documentation/controllers/memory.txt b/Documentation/controllers/memory.txt
--- a/Documentation/controllers/memory.txt
+++ b/Documentation/controllers/memory.txt
@@ -164,13 +164,16 @@ c. Enable CONFIG_CONTAINER_MEM_CONT
```

```
# echo $$ > /containers/0/tasks
Since now we're in the 0 container,
-We can alter the memory limit:
+We can alter the memory limit (in pages):
# echo -n 6000 > /containers/0/memory.limit
We can check the usage:
# cat /containers/0/memory.usage
25
+If memory.limit is set to 0, no charge is accumlated for that resource
+controller.
The memory.failcnt field gives the number of times that the container limit was
exceeded.
diff --git a/kernel/res_counter.c b/kernel/res_counter.c
--- a/kernel/res counter.c
+++ b/kernel/res counter.c
@@ -16,12 +16,15 @@
void res counter init(struct res counter *counter)
{
 spin_lock_init(&counter->lock);
counter->limit = (unsigned long)LONG_MAX;
}
int res counter charge locked(struct res counter *counter, unsigned long val)
- if (counter->usage + val > counter->limit) {
+ * If 'memory.limit' is set to 0, there is no charge to this
+ * res_counter.
+ */
+ if (counter->limit && counter->usage + val > counter->limit) {
 counter->failcnt++;
 return -ENOMEM;
 }
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
```

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers