

---

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] allow "unlimited" limit value.

Posted by [KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki](#) on Wed, 26 Sep 2007 01:23:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 26 Sep 2007 00:51:59 +0530

Balbir Singh <[balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com](mailto:balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com)> wrote:

> David Rientjes wrote:

> Yes, I prefer 0 as well and had that in a series in the Lost World  
> of my earlier memory/RSS controller patches. I feel now that 0 is  
> a bit confusing, we don't use 0 to mean unlimited, unless we  
> treat the memory.limit\_in\_bytes value as boolean. 0 is false,  
> meaning there is no limit, > 0 is true, which means the limit  
> is set and the value is specified to the value read out.

I prefer 0 than -1, too

Thanks,  
-Kame

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---