
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] allow "unlimited" limit value.
Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Tue, 25 Sep 2007 20:00:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 9/25/07, David Rientjes <rientjes@google.com> wrote:

>
> If I echo -n 8191 > memory.limit_in_bytes, I'm still only going to be able
> to charge one page on my x86_64. And then my program's malloc(5000) is
> going to fail, which leads to the inevitable head scratching.

This is a very unrealistic argument. Page-size rounding really has no effect on any reasonable-sized memory cgroup.

Expressing it in bytes seems reasonable to me, since they are after all the fundamental unit that's being counted ("kilobytes" are explicitly an aggregation of "bytes").

Paul

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
