
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] allow "unlimited" limit value.
Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Tue, 25 Sep 2007 19:35:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 9/25/07, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

>
> nit pick, should be memory.limit_in_bytes
>

Can we reconsider this? I do think that plain "limit" would enable you to have a more consistent API across all resource counters users.

I realise that there's the issue that if someone's never heard of the memory controller and never read any docs for it then they might be very briefly uncertain as to the units, but really, that's what documentation is for.

Paul

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
