

---

Subject: Re: Kernel text size with pid namespace  
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Thu, 20 Sep 2007 11:55:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Paul Jackson wrote:

>>> functions are used in process creation/termination, we would need to  
>>> keep them inline, when optimizing for performance.  
>> I'd keep them inline for performance reasons.  
>  
> As Matt Mackall explained more carefully in his reply, it's no longer  
> clear that inlining is best for performance in as many situations as  
> it was the past. Cache footprint size tends to dominate performance on  
> present day processors.

True, but AFAIR, when I developed the namespaces I tried to move  
the task\_pid\_nr etc calls in kernel/pid.c and the performance on  
unixbench spawn and nptlperf tests became worse. That's why I said  
that I'd keep them inline.

> See also Matt's comments on the NULL struct pid check. Getting rid  
> of conditional jumps may be the more important performance issue here.

That's a valid argument. I will look over it.

Thanks,  
Pavel

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---