

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/4] Kernel memory accounting container (v3)  
Posted by [Christoph Lameter](#) on Tue, 18 Sep 2007 19:37:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Tue, 18 Sep 2007, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

> > Where can I find more information why is would not be possible to  
> > account kmalloccaches?  
>  
> It is possible, but the problem is that we want to account only  
> allocations explicitly caused by the user request. E.g. the  
> vfstmount name is kmallocc-ed by explicit user request, but such  
> things as buffer heads are not.  
>  
> So we have to explicitly specify which calls to kmallocc() do we  
> want to be accounted and which we do not by passing additional  
> flag (GFP\_ACCT?) to kmallocc, but this is another patch.  
>  
> Yet again - as soon as we agree on the implementation of kmem  
> caches accounting, I will proceed with working on kmallocc, vmallocc  
> and buddy allocator.

Oh gosh..... Lots of complications in the allocator paths.

---