Subject: Re: [PATCH] Wake up mandatory locks waiter on chmod (v2) Posted by bfields on Tue, 18 Sep 2007 15:19:57 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, Sep 18, 2007 at 10:33:26AM +0400, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

- > Trond Myklebust wrote:
- > > IOW: the process that is waiting in locks\_mandatory\_area() will be
- > > released as soon as the advisory lock is dropped. If that theory is
- > > broken in practice, then that is the bug that we need to fix. We neither
- > > want to add a load of locking crap to notify\_change(), nor should we
- > > need to.

>

- > We have this for inotify already. Adding wakeup for mandatory lock
- > is not that bad.

>

- > Anyway I noticed, that the system state can become not consistent
- > and proposed the way to fix it. If this inconsistency is not a big
- > deal, and nobody cares, than I'm fine with forgetting this patch,
- > since I have no other arguments to protect it, but "this is just not
- > very nice without this patch".

Maybe this should be documented, e.g. in fcntl(2). I'm not sure exactly what we'd say--we probably don't want to commit to the current behavior. Maybe something like "behavior is undefined when setting or clearing mandatory locking on a file while it is locked".

--b.