
Subject: Re: [PATCH 5/5][NFS] Cleanup explicit check for mandatory locks
Posted by Pavel Emelianov on Tue, 18 Sep 2007 06:20:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Trond Myklebust wrote:

> On Mon, 2007-09-17 at 11:57 +0400, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
>> The __mandatory_lock(inode) macro makes the same check, but
>> makes the code more readable.
>
> Could we please avoid using underscores in macros. Also, why are we
> breaking the usual convention of capitalising macro names?

Sorry, I've forgot to change all the log - this is not a macro,
but a static inline function. The underscores are here, because
the mandatory_lock() one already exists and additionally checks
for "if (IS_MANDLOCK(inode))"

Thanks,
Pavel

> Cheers
> Trond
>
>> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>
>> Cc: Trond Myklebust <trond.myklebust@fys.uio.no>
>>
>> ---
>>
>> fs/nfs/file.c | 3 +-
>> 1 files changed, 1 insertion(+), 2 deletions(-)
>>
>> diff --git a/fs/nfs/file.c b/fs/nfs/file.c
>> index 73ddd2e..7a07be1 100644
>> --- a/fs/nfs/file.c
>> +++ b/fs/nfs/file.c
>> @@ -605,8 +605,7 @@ static int nfs_lock(struct file *filp, i
>> nfs_inc_stats(inode, NFSIOS_VFSLOCK);
>>
>> /* No mandatory locks over NFS */
>> - if ((inode->i_mode & (S_ISGID | S_IXGRP)) == S_ISGID &&
>> - fl->fl_type != F_UNLCK)
>> + if (__mandatory_lock(inode) && fl->fl_type != F_UNLCK)
>> return -ENOLCK;
>>
>> if (IS_GETLK(cmd))
>>
>
