

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 5/5][NFS] Cleanup explicit check for mandatory locks  
Posted by [Trond Myklebust](#) on Mon, 17 Sep 2007 17:03:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Mon, 2007-09-17 at 11:57 +0400, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:  
> The `__mandatory_lock(inode)` macro makes the same check, but  
> makes the code more readable.

Could we please avoid using underscores in macros. Also, why are we breaking the usual convention of capitalising macro names?

Cheers  
Trond

> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>  
> Cc: Trond Myklebust <trond.myklebust@fys.uio.no>  
>  
> ---  
>  
> fs/nfs/file.c | 3 +--  
> 1 files changed, 1 insertion(+), 2 deletions(-)  
>  
> diff --git a/fs/nfs/file.c b/fs/nfs/file.c  
> index 73ddd2e..7a07be1 100644  
> --- a/fs/nfs/file.c  
> +++ b/fs/nfs/file.c  
> @@ -605,8 +605,7 @@ static int nfs\_lock(struct file \*filp, i  
> nfs\_inc\_stats(inode, NFSIOS\_VFSLOCK);  
>  
> /\* No mandatory locks over NFS \*/  
> - if ((inode->i\_mode & (S\_ISGID | S\_IXGRP)) == S\_ISGID &&  
> - fl->fl\_type != F\_UNLCK)  
> + if (\_\_mandatory\_lock(inode) && fl->fl\_type != F\_UNLCK)  
> return -ENOLCK;  
>  
> if (IS\_GETLK(cmd))  
>

---